

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM-
SURFACE WATERS:**

**FIELD OPERATIONS AND METHODS FOR MEASURING THE
ECOLOGICAL CONDITION OF WADEABLE STREAMS**

Edited by

James M. Lazorchak¹, Donald J. Klemm¹, and David V. Peck²

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ecosystems Research Branch
Ecological Exposure Research Division
National Exposure Research Laboratory
Cincinnati, OH 45268

² U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Regional Ecology Branch
Western Ecology Division
National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory
Corvallis, OR 97333

NATIONAL EXPOSURE RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

NATIONAL HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

SECTION 14

RAPID HABITAT AND VISUAL STREAM ASSESSMENTS

by
James M. Lazorchak¹, Alan T. Herlihy², and Jim Green³

After all other samples and field data have been collected, the field team conducts an overall habitat assessment of the stream, makes a general visual assessment of the stream, and performs a final check of the data forms and samples before leaving the stream site (see Section 15). The habitat assessment protocol used is adapted from EPA's "rapid" bioassessment protocols (Plafkin et al, 1989), and has been refined from various applications across the country. The approach focuses on integrating information from specific parameters on the structure of the physical habitat. The objective of the visual stream assessment is to record field team observations of catchment and stream characteristics that are useful for data validation, future data interpretation, ecological value assessment, development of associations, and verification of stressor data. The observations and impressions of field teams are extremely valuable.

14.1 RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT

Based on the perception gained from collecting samples and measurements from throughout the sampling reach, classify the stream as either "Riffle/run" or "Pool/glide" prevalent based on your visual impression of the dominant habitat type. Choose the prevalent habitat type based on which habitat type occupies the majority of the length of the sampling reach. A different field data form is completed depending upon the prevalent habitat type.

For each prevalent habitat type, twelve characteristics (termed "parameters") of habitat are considered and evaluated as part of the rapid habitat assessment. These param-

¹ U.S. EPA, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Ecological Exposure Research Division, 26 W. Martin Luther King Dr., Cincinnati, OH 45268.

² Dept. of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, c/o U.S. EPA, 200 SW 35th St., Corvallis, OR 97333.

³ U.S. EPA Region 3, Wheeling Office, 303 Methodist Bldg., 11th and Chaplin Streets, Wheeling, WV 26003.

ters are described in Table 14-1. Most of the parameters are evaluated similarly for both types of prevalent habitats. In four cases, the same parameter is evaluated differently, or a different (but ecologically equivalent) parameter is evaluated in riffle/run prevalent versus pool/glide prevalent streams. Epifaunal substrates are evaluated differently in riffle/run and pool/glide prevalent streams. Substrate embeddedness is evaluated in riffle/run prevalent streams, while pool substrate composition is evaluated in pool/glide prevalent streams. The presence of four potential types of microhabitat types based on combinations of depth and current velocity is evaluated in riffle/run prevalent streams, while the presence of four potential types of pool microhabitat based on depth and area are evaluated in pool/glide prevalent streams. The frequency of riffles is evaluated in riffle/run prevalent streams, while channel sinuosity is evaluated in pool/glide prevalent streams.

The procedure for conducting the rapid habitat assessment is presented in Table 14-2. For each of the twelve parameters, rate the overall quality of the sampling reach on a scale of 0 to 20. For riffle/run prevalent streams, record your scores for each parameter on the riffle/run version of the Rapid Habitat Assessment Form as shown in Figures 14-1 and 14-2. If the stream is classified as a pool/glide prevalent stream, record your scores for each parameter on the pool/glide version of the Rapid Habitat Assessment Form as shown in Figures 14-3 and 14-4. Transfer the scores assigned for each parameter to the box in the left-hand column of the form. Sum the scores for each parameter and record the total score in the box at the top of page 1 of the form.

14.2 VISUAL STREAM ASSESSMENT

The objective of the visual stream assessment is to record field crew observations of catchment/stream characteristics useful for future data interpretation, ecological value assessment, development of associations, and verification of stressor data. Observations and impressions of field crews are extremely valuable. Thus, it is important that these observations about stream characteristics be recorded for future data interpretation and validation. The assessment form is designed as a template for recording pertinent field observations. It is by no means comprehensive and any additional observations should be recorded in the Comments section of the form.

Complete the assessment form after all other sampling and measurement activities have been completed. Take into account all observations the sampling team has made while at the site. The assessment includes the following components: watershed activities and

TABLE 14-1. DESCRIPTIONS OF HABITAT PARAMETERS USED IN THE RAPID ASSESSMENT OF STREAMS

Habitat Parameter	Prevalent Habitat Type R=Riffle/run P=Pool/glide	Description and Rationale
1. Instream Cover (fish)	R P	Includes the relative quantity and variety of natural structures in the stream (e.g., fallen trees, logs, and branches, large rocks, and undercut banks) that are available for refugia, feeding, or spawning. A wide variety of submerged structures in the stream provide fish with a large number of niches, thus increasing assemblage diversity.
2. Epifaunal Substrate (benthic invertebrates)	R	Essentially the amount of niche space or hard substrates (rocks, snags) available for insects and snails. Numerous types of insect larvae attach themselves to rocks, logs, branches, or other submerged substrates. As with fish, the greater the variety and number of available niches or attachments, the greater the variety of insects in the stream. Rocky-bottom areas are critical for maintaining a healthy variety of insects in most high gradient streams.
	P	The abundance, distribution, and quality of substrate and other stable colonizing surfaces (e.g., old logs, snags, aquatic vegetation) that maximize the potential for colonization.
3A. Embeddedness	R	The extent to which rocks (gravel, cobble, and boulders) are covered or sunken into the silt, sand, or mud of the stream bottom. Generally, as rocks become embedded, the surface area available to macroinvertebrates and fish for shelter, spawning, and egg incubation is decreased. To estimate the percent of embeddedness, observe the amount of silt or finer sediments overlying and surrounding the rocks. If kicking does not dislodge the rocks or cobble, they may be greatly embedded. It is useful to observe the extent of the dark area on their underside of a few rocks.
3B. Pool Substrate Characterization	P	Evaluates the type and condition of bottom substrates found in pools. Firmer sediment types (e.g., gravel, sand) and rooted aquatic plants support a wider variety of organisms than a pool substrate dominated by mud or bedrock and no plants. In addition, a stream that has a uniform substrate in its pools will support far fewer types of organisms than a stream that has a variety of substrate types.
4A. Velocity and Depth Regimes	R	There are four primary current and depth combinations: (1) slow-deep, (2) slow-shallow, (3) fast-deep, and (4) fast-shallow. The best streams in high gradient regions will have all four combinations present. The presence or availability of these four habitats relates to the ability of the stream to provide and maintain a stable aquatic environment. In general use a depth of 0.5 m to separate shallow from deep and a current velocity of 0.3 m/sec to separate fast from slow.
4B. Pool Variability	P	Rates the overall mixture of pool types found in streams, according to size and depth. The four basic types of pools are large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, and small-deep. A stream with many pool types will support a wide variety of aquatic species. Rivers with low sinuosity (few bends) and monotonous pool characteristics do not have sufficient quantities and types of habitat to support a diverse aquatic community. As a general guideline, consider a pool deep if it is greater than 1 m deep, and large if its length, width, or oblique dimension is greater than half the stream width.

(continued)

TABLE 14-1 (Continued)

Habitat Parameter	Prevalent Habitat Type R=Riffle/run P=Pool/glide	Description and Rationale
5. Channel Alteration	R P	Basically a measure of large-scale changes in the shape of the stream channel. Many streams in urban and agricultural areas have been straightened, deepened, or diverted into concrete channels, often for flood control purposes. Such streams have far fewer natural habitats for fish, macroinvertebrates, and plants than do naturally meandering streams. Channel alteration is present when the stream runs through a concrete channel; when artificial embankments, riprap, and other forms of artificial bank stabilization or structures are present; when the stream is very straight for significant distances; when dams and bridges are present; and when other such changes have occurred.
6. Sediment Deposition	R P	The amount of sediment that has accumulated and the changes that have occurred to the stream bottom as a result of the deposition. Deposition occurs from large-scale movement of sediment caused by watershed erosion. Sediment deposition may cause the formation of islands, point bars (areas of increased deposition usually at the beginning of meanders that increase in size as the channel is diverted toward the outer bank) or shoals or result in the filling of pools. Increased sedimentation also results in increased deposition. Usually this is evident in areas that are obstructed by natural or man-made debris and areas where the stream flow decreases, such as bends. High levels of sediment deposition create an unstable and continually changing environment that becomes unsuitable for many organisms.
7A. Frequency of Riffles	R	The sequence of riffles occurring in a stream. Riffles are a source of high-quality habitat and diverse fauna, therefore, an increased frequency of occurrence greatly enhances the diversity of the stream community. For areas where riffles are uncommon, a run/bend ratio can be used as a measure of sinuosity. A large degree of sinuosity provides for diverse habitat and fauna, and the stream is better able to handle the high energy flows that result from storms than are relatively straight streams.
7B. Channel Sinuosity	P	Evaluates the meandering or relative frequency of bends of the stream. Streams that meander provide a variety of habitats for aquatic organisms, whereas straight stream segments are characterized by monotonous habitats that are prone to flooding. A high degree of sinuosity creates a variety of pools and reduces the energy from surges when the stream flow fluctuates. The absorption of this energy by bends protects the stream from excessive erosion and flooding. In "ox-bow" streams of coastal areas and deltas, meanders are highly exaggerated and transient. Natural conditions are shifting channels and bends. Alteration of these streams is usually in the form of flow regulation and diversion.
8. Channel Flow Status	R P	The degree to which the channel is filled with water. The flow status will change as the channel enlarges or as flow decreases as a result of dams and other obstructions, diversions for irrigation, or drought. When water does not cover much of the streambed, the amount of useable substrate for aquatic organisms is limited.

(continued)

TABLE 14-1 (Continued)

Habitat Parameter	Prevalent Habitat Type R=Riffle/run P=Pool/glide	Description and Rationale
9. Condition of Banks	R P	The stream banks are eroded (or have the potential for erosion). Steep banks are more likely to collapse and suffer from erosion than are gently sloping banks and are therefore considered to be unstable. Signs of erosion include crumbling, unvegetated banks, exposed tree roots, and exposed soil
10. Bank Vegetative Protection	R P	The amount of the stream bank that is covered by vegetation. The root systems of plants growing on stream banks help hold soil in place, thereby reducing the amount of erosion that is likely to occur. This parameter supplies information on the ability of the bank to resist erosion, as well as some additional information on the uptake of nutrients by the plants, the control on instream scouring, and stream shading. Banks that have full, natural plant growth are better for fish and macroinvertebrates than are banks without vegetative protection or those shored up with concrete or riprap.
11. Grazing or Disruptive Pressure	R P	Disruptive changes to the riparian zone because of grazing or human interference (e.g., mowing). In areas of high grazing pressure from livestock or where residential and urban development activities disrupt the riparian zone, the growth of a natural plant community is impeded. Residential developments, urban centers, golf courses, and rangeland are the common causes of anthropogenic effects on the riparian zone.
12. Riparian Vegetated Zone Width	R P	The width of natural vegetation from the edge of the stream bank (riparian buffer zone). The riparian vegetative zone serves as a buffer zone to pollutants entering a stream from runoff, controls erosion, and provides stream habitat and nutrient input into the stream. A relatively undisturbed riparian zone reflects a healthy stream system; narrow, far less useful riparian zones occur when roads, parking lots, fields, lawns, bare soil, rocks, or buildings are near the stream bank. The presence of "old fields" (i.e., a previously developed field allowed to convert to natural conditions) will rate higher than fields in continuous or periodic use. Paths and walkways in an otherwise undisturbed riparian zone may be judged to be inconsequential to destruction of the riparian zone.

TABLE 14-2. PROCEDURE FOR CONDUCTING THE RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT

1. Based on observations during previous sample collection and field measurement activities, classify the sampling reach as predominantly flowing water habitat ("Riffle/run") or slow water habitat ("Pool/glide").
 2. Select the appropriate version of the Rapid Habitat Assessment Form ("Riffle/Run Prevalence" or "Pool/Glide Prevalence") based on the classification in Step 1.
 3. For each of the 12 habitat parameters, determine the general "quality" category ("POOR", "MARGINAL", "SUB-OPTIMAL", or "OPTIMAL") of the entire sampling reach. Assign and circle a score from the values available within each quality category. For each parameter, the sampling reach can be scored from 0 (worst) to 20 (best).
 4. After the sampling reach has been scored for all parameters, transfer the score circled for each category to the corresponding "SCORE" box in the "HABITAT PARAMETER" column of the assessment form.
 5. Sum the scores recorded in Step 4 over all 12 habitat parameters. Record the total score for the sampling reach in the "TOTAL SCORE" box on page 1 of the assessment form. The total score can range from 0 to 240.
-

Reviewed by (initial): *SP*

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: RIFFLE/RUN PREVALENCE - STREAMS					
SITE NAME: <i>MILL CREEK</i>		DATE: <i>7/15/97</i> VISIT: ☒ 1 ☐ 2			
SITE ID: <i>MAIA97-999</i>		TEAM ID (X): ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8			
TOTAL SCORE	<i>162</i>	CATEGORY			
HABITAT PARAMETER		OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
1. INSTREAM COVER (FISH)		Greater than 50% mix of boulder, cobble, submerged logs, undercut banks, or other stable habitat.	30 to 50% mix of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; adequate habitat.	10 to 30% mix of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; habitat availability is less than desirable.	Less than 10% of boulder, cobble, or other stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious.
SCORE: <i>12</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <i>(12)</i> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. EPIFAUNAL SUBSTRATE		Well-developed riffle and run; riffle is as wide as stream and its length extends two times the width of stream; abundance of cobble.	Riffle is as wide as stream, but is less than two times width; abundance of cobble; boulders and gravel common.	Run area may be lacking; reduced riffle area that does not extend across entire cross section and is less than two times the width; gravel or large boulders and bedrock prevalent; cobble present.	Riffles or run virtually non-existent; gravel or large boulders and bedrock prevalent; cobble lacking.
SCORE: <i>8</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>(8)</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. EMBEDDEDNESS		Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are between 0 and 25% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are between 25 and 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are between 50 and 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are over 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
SCORE: <i>12</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <i>(12)</i> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. VELOCITY/DEPTH REGIMES		All four velocity regimes are present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow).	Only three of the four habitat types are present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if other regimes are missing).	Only two of the four habitat types are present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by one velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
SCORE: <i>15</i>		20 19 18 17 16	<i>(15)</i> 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. CHANNEL ALTERATION		No channelization of dredging present.	Some channelization is present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	New embankments are present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of the stream reach is channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach is channelized and disrupted.
SCORE: <i>18</i>		20 19 <i>(18)</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
6. SEDIMENT DEPOSITION		Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom is affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from coarse gravel; 5 to 30% of the bottom is affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel or coarse sand on old and new bars; 30 to 50% of the bottom is affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material; increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom is changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE: <i>14</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 <i>(14)</i> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Rev. 06/02/97 (stbxrhr.97)

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: RIFFLE/RUN - STREAMS - 1

Figure 14-1. Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for riffle/run prevalent streams (page 1).

Reviewed by (initial): *SP*

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: RIFFLE/RUN - STREAMS (continued)				
SITE NAME: <i>MILL CREEK</i>		DATE: <i>7/15/97</i> VISIT: ☒ 1 ☐ 2		
SITE ID: <i>MAIA97-999</i>		TEAM ID (X): ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8		
HABITAT PARAMETER	CATEGORY			
	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
7. FREQUENCY OF RIFFLES	Occurrence of riffles is relatively frequent; the distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream equals 5 to 7; variety of habitat.	Occurrence of riffles is infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream equals 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is greater than 25.
SCORE: <i>13</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <i>(13)</i> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. CHANNEL FLOW STATUS	Water reaches the base of both banks and a minimal area of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills more than 75% of the available channel; or less than 25% of the channel substrate is exposed.	Water fill 25 to 75% of the available channel; and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel, and mostly present as standing pools.
SCORE: <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>(18)</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
9. CONDITION OF BANKS	Banks stable; no evidence of erosion or bank failure.	Banks moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over.	Moderately unstable; up to 60% of banks in reach have areas of erosion.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; on side slopes, 60 to 100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE: <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 16	<i>(15)</i> 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
10. BANK VEGETATIVE PROTECTION	More than 90% of the stream bank surfaces are covered by vegetation.	70 to 90% of the stream bank surfaces are covered by vegetation.	50 to 70% of the stream bank surfaces are covered by vegetation.	Less than 50% of the stream bank surfaces are covered by vegetation.
SCORE: <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>(16)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
11. GRAZING OR OTHER DISRUPTIVE PRESSURE	Vegetative disruption, through grazing or mowing is minimal or not evident; almost all plants are allowed to grow naturally.	Disruption is evident but is not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Disruption is obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation are common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height.
SCORE: <i>11</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 <i>(11)</i>	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
12. RIPARIAN VEGETATION ZONE WIDTH (LEAST BUFFERED SIDE)	Width of riparian zone is greater than 18 m; human activities (i.e.; parking lots, roadbeds, clearcuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted this zone.	Zone width is between 12 and 18 m; human activities have only minimally impacted this zone.	Zone width is between 6 and 12 m; human activities have impacted the zone a great deal.	Width of zone is less than 6 m; little or no riparian vegetation due to man-induced activities.
SCORE: <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<i>(10)</i> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Rev. 06/02/97 (sbxrrhr.97)

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: RIFFLE/RUN - STREAMS - 2

Figure 14-2. Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for riffle/run prevalent streams (page 2).

Reviewed by (initial): *SP*

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: GLIDE/POOL PREVALENCE - STREAMS					
SITE NAME: <i>MILL CREEK</i>		DATE: <i>7/15/97</i> VISIT: ☒ 1 ☐ 2			
SITE ID: <i>MAIA97-999</i>		TEAM ID (X): ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8			
TOTAL SCORE	<i>118</i>	CATEGORY			
HABITAT PARAMETER		OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
1. INSTREAM COVER		Greater than 50% mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, or other stable habitat; rubble or gravel may be present.	30 to 50% mix of stable habitat; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations.	10 to 30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability is less than desirable.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious.
SCORE: <i>8</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. EPIFAUNAL SUBSTRATE		Preferred benthic substrate (to be sampled) is abundant throughout stream site and at a stage to allow for full colonization potential (i.e.; logs and snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient.	Substrate is common but is not prevalent nor well-suited for full colonization potential.	Substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Substrate is unstable or lacking.
SCORE: <i>8</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. POOL SUBSTRATE CHARACTERIZATION		Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation are common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation are present	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.
SCORE: <i>8</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. POOL VARIABILITY		Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, and small-deep pools are present.	The majority of pools are large and deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools are small-shallow or pools are absent.
SCORE: <i>8</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. CHANNEL ALTERATION		No channelization of dredging present.	Some channelization is present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e.; dredging (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	New embankments are present on both banks; channelization may be extensive, usually in urban areas or drainage areas of agricultural lands; and more than 80% of the stream reach is channelized or disrupted.	Extensive channelization; banks shored with gabion or cement; heavily urbanized areas; instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE: <i>16</i>		20 19 18 17 <i>16</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
6. SEDIMENT DEPOSITION		Less than 20% of the bottom is affected; minor accumulation of fine and coarse material at snags and submerged vegetation; little or no enlargement of islands or point bars.	20 to 50% affected; moderate accumulation; substantial sediment movement only during major storm events; some new increase in bar formation.	50 to 80% affected; major deposition; pools shallow and heavily silted; embankments may be present on both banks; frequent and substantial sediment movement during storm events.	Channelized; mud, silt, and/or sand in braided or non-braided channels; pools almost absent due to deposition.
SCORE: <i>7</i>		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 <i>7</i> 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Rev. 06/02/97 (sbxrhgp.97)

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: GLIDE/POOL - STREAMS - 1

Figure 14-3. Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for pool/glide prevalent streams (page 1).

Reviewed by (initial): SP

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: GLIDE/POOL- STREAMS (continued)				
SITE NAME: <u>MILL CREEK</u>		DATE: <u>7/15/97</u> VISIT: ☒ 1 ☐ 2		
SITE ID: <u>MAIA 97-999</u>		TEAM ID (X): ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8		
HABITAT PARAMETER	CATEGORY			
	OPTIMAL	SUB-OPTIMAL	MARGINAL	POOR
7. CHANNEL SINUOSITY SCORE: <u>13</u>	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 20 19 18 17 16	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 15 14 <u>13</u> 12 11	The bends in the stream increase the stream length between 1 and 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line. 10 9 8 7 6	Channel is straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance. 5 4 3 2 1 0
8. CHANNEL FLOW STATUS SCORE: <u>18</u>	Water reaches the base of both lower banks and a minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	Water fills more than 75% of the available channel; or less than 25% of the channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25 to 75% of the available channel and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel, and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0
9. CONDITION OF BANKS SCORE: <u>9</u>	Banks stable; no evidence of erosion or bank failure. 20 19 18 17 16	Banks moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderately unstable; up to 60% of banks in reach have areas of erosion. 10 <u>9</u> 8 7 6	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; side slopes 60 to 100% of bank has erosional scars. 5 4 3 2 1 0
10. BANK VEGETATIVE PROTECTION SCORE: <u>8</u>	Over 90% of the stream bank surfaces is covered by vegetation. 20 19 18 17 16	70 to 90% of the stream bank surfaces is covered by vegetation. 15 14 13 12 11	50 to 70% of the stream bank surfaces is covered by vegetation. 10 9 <u>8</u> 7 6	Less than 50% of the stream bank surfaces are covered by vegetation. 5 4 3 2 1 0
11. GRAZING OR OTHER DISRUPTIVE PRESSURE SCORE: <u>8</u>	Vegetative disruption minimal or not evident; almost all plants are allowed to grow naturally. 20 19 18 17 16	Disruption is evident but is not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 15 14 13 12 11	Disruption is obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation are common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 10 9 <u>8</u> 7 6	Disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 2 inches or less in average stubble height. 5 4 3 2 1 0
12. RIPARIAN VEGETATION ZONE WIDTH (LEAST BUFFERED SIDE) SCORE: <u>7</u>	Width of riparian zone is greater than 18 meters; human activities (i.e.; parking lots, roadbeds, clearcuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted this zone. 20 19 18 17 16	Width of riparian zone is between 12 and 18 meters; human activities have only minimally impacted this zone. 15 14 13 12 11	Width of riparian zone is between 6 and 12 meters; human activities have impacted the zone a great deal. 10 9 8 <u>7</u> 6	Width of riparian zone is less than 6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. 5 4 3 2 1 0

Rev. 06/02/97 (stbxrhgp.97)

RAPID HABITAT ASSESSMENT FORM: GLIDE/POOL - STREAMS - 2

Figure 14-4. Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for glide/pool prevalent streams (page 2).

observed disturbances, reach characteristics, waterbody character, general assessment, and local anecdotal information. The procedure for conducting the visual assessment of the sampling reach is presented in Table 14-3. Record data and observations for each component of the assessment on the Assessment Form as shown in Figures 14-5 and 14-6.

Each watershed activity or disturbance is rated into one of four categories of abundance or influence: not observed, low, medium, or high. Leave the line blank for any activity or disturbance type not observed. The distinction between low, medium, and high will be subjective. For example, if there are 2-3 houses on a stream, the rating for "Houses" would be low. If the stream is in a suburban housing development, rate it as high. Similarly, a small patch of clear cut logging on a hill overlooking the stream would be rated as low. Logging activity right on the stream shore, however, would be rated as high.

When assessing reach characteristics, make your best estimate as to the percent of the sampling reach (40 channel widths) that had each type of listed riparian zone land use immediately adjacent to the stream. Also rate the water clarity, including whether you believe the clarity is influenced by recent storm events (see Section 4).

Water body character is defined as "the physical habitat integrity of the water body, largely a function of riparian and littoral habitat structure, volume change, trash, turbidity, slicks, scums, color, and odor." Water body character is assessed using two attributes, the degree of human development, and aesthetics. Rate each of these attributes on a scale of 1 to 5. For development, give the stream a "5" rating if it is pristine, with no signs of any human development. A rating of "1" indicates a stream which is totally developed (e.g., the entire stream is lined with houses, or the riparian zone has been removed). For aesthetics, base your decision on any factor about the stream that bothers you (e.g., trash, algal growth, weed abundance, overcrowding).

The general assessment component includes any observations that will help in data interpretation in the pertinent section. General assessment comments can include comments on wildlife observed, diversity of terrestrial vegetation, age class of forest, or any other observation. Comments from locals are often useful and should be recorded in the "LOCAL ANECDOTAL INFORMATION" section. The back side of the form (Figure 14-6) is available for general comments.

**TABLE 14-3. PROCEDURE FOR CONDUCTING THE FINAL VISUAL ASSESSMENT
OF A STREAM**

1. After all other sampling and measurement activities are completed, fill out the header section of an Assessment Form. Use your perceptions obtained during the course of the day, while at the stream or driving/walking through the catchment to complete the remainder of the form.
2. WATERSHED ACTIVITIES AND DISTURBANCES OBSERVED: Rate each type of activity or disturbance listed on the form as either "Not observed", "Low", "Medium", or "High", and record the rating on the Assessment Form. Keep in mind that ratings will be somewhat subjective and that an extensive effort to quantify the presence and intensity of each type of stressor is not required. General categories of activities and types of disturbance are described below:
 - Residential: The presence of any of the listed disturbances adjacent to or near the stream.
 - Recreational: The presence of organized public or private parks, campgrounds, beaches or other recreation areas around the stream. If there are signs of informal areas of camping, swimming or boating around the stream (e.g., swimming hole), record them as "primitive" parks, camping.
 - Agriculture: The presence of cropland, pasture, orchards, poultry, and/or livestock.
 - Industrial: Any industrial activity (e.g., canning, chemical, pulp), commercial activity (stores, businesses) or logging/mining activities around the stream or in the catchment. Describe in more detail in the comments section.
 - Management: Any evidence of liming activity, water treatment, dredging or channelization, flow control structures, etc.

Any oddities, or further elaboration should be recorded in the Comments section.
3. REACH CHARACTERISTICS: For each type of riparian vegetation cover or land use category listed on the Assessment Form, estimate the proportion of the sampling reach immediately adjacent to the stream that is affected. Place an "X" in the appropriate extent class box (Rare [$< 5\%$], Sparse [5 to 25%], Moderate [25 to 75%], and Extensive [$> 75\%$]) on the form.
4. Classify the overall water clarity within the sampling reach as clear, murky, or highly turbid. Place an "X" in the appropriate box on the "WATER CLARITY" line of the Assessment Form. If you believe that water clarity has been influenced by a recent storm event, also place an "X" in the "STORM INFLUENCED" box.
5. WATER BODY CHARACTER: Assign a rating of 1 (highly disturbed) to 5 (pristine) based on your general impression of the intensity of impact from human disturbance. Place an "X" in the box next to the assigned rating on the Assessment Form.

(continued)

TABLE 14-3 (Continued)

5. **WATERBODY CHARACTER (CONT.):** Assign a rating to the stream based on overall aesthetic quality, based on your opinion of how suitable the stream water is for recreation and aesthetic enjoyment today. Place an "X" in the box next to the assigned rating on the Assessment Form.

5. Beautiful, could not be any nicer.
4. Very minor aesthetic problems; excellent for swimming, boating, enjoyment.
3. Enjoyment impaired.
2. Level of enjoyment substantially reduced.
1. Enjoyment nearly impossible.

Add any comments you feel might aid data interpretation in the Comments Section.

6. **GENERAL ASSESSMENT:** record comments on wildlife observed, perceived diversity of terrestrial vegetation, and the estimated age class of forest (0 to 25 yr, 25 to 75 yr, or > 75 yr.) on the Assessment Form.
7. **LOCAL ANECDOTAL INFORMATION:** Record any information regarding the past or present characteristics or condition of the stream provided by local residents.

Reviewed by (initial): *SP*

ASSESSMENT FORM - STREAMS/RIVERS																												
SITE NAME: <i>MILL CREEK</i>										DATE: <i>7/15/97</i> VISIT: ☒ 1 ☐ 2																		
SITE ID: <i>MAIA97-999</i>										TEAM ID (X): ☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8																		
WATERSHED ACTIVITIES AND DISTURBANCES OBSERVED (INTENSITY: BLANK=NOT OBSERVED, L=LOW, M=MODERATE, H=HEAVY)																												
RESIDENTIAL					RECREATIONAL					AGRICULTURAL					INDUSTRIAL					STREAM MANAGEMENT								
L	M	H			L	M	H			L	M	H			L	M	H			L	M	H						
☒			RESIDENCES					PARKS, CAMPGROUNDS					CROPLAND					INDUSTRIAL PLANTS					LIMING					
☒			MAINTAINED LAWNS					PRIMITIVE PARKS, CAMPING				☒	PASTURE					MINES/QUARRIES					DRINKING WATER TREATMENT					
			CONSTRUCTION					TRASH/LITTER				☒	LIVESTOCK USE					OIL/GAS WELLS					ANGLING PRESSURE					
			PIPES, DRAINS					SURFACE FILMS, SCUMS, OR SLICKS					ORCHARDS					POWER PLANTS					DREDGING					
			DUMPING										POULTRY					LOGGING					CHANNELIZATION					
☒			ROADS										IRRIGATION PUMPS					EVIDENCE OF FIRE					WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS					
			BRIDGE/CULVERTS															ODORS					FISH STOCKING					
																		COMMERCIAL					DAMS					
REACH CHARACTERISTICS (percent of reach)																												
FOREST					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
SHRUB					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
GRASS					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☒ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☒ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
WETLAND					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
BARE GROUND					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☒ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
MACROPHYTES					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
AGRICULTURE - ROW CROP					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☒ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
AGRICULTURE - GRAZING					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☒ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
LOGGING					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
DEVELOPMENT (RESIDENTIAL & URBAN)					☒ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
					☐ RARE (< 5%)				☐ SPARSE (5 to 25%)				☐ MODERATE (25 to 75%)				☐ EXTENSIVE (> 75%)											
WATER CLARITY					☒ CLEAR				☐ MURKY				☐ HIGHLY TURBID				☐ STORM INFLUENCED											
WATERBODY CHARACTER (X ONE)																												
PRISTINE					☐ 5				☐ 4				☐ 3				☒ 2				☐ 1				HIGHLY DISTURBED			
APPEALING					☐ 5				☐ 4				☐ 3				☐ 2				☒ 1				UNAPPEALING			
GENERAL ASSESSMENT (wildlife, vegetation diversity, forest age class (0-25 yrs, 25-75 yrs, >75))																												
<i>Low vegetation diversity. Lots of cattle. Forest age class = 25-75 yr.</i>																												
LOCAL ANECDOTAL INFORMATION: <i>Lots of poultry farms in area, also.</i>																												

Rev. 06/02/97 (strvasse.97)

ASSESSMENT FORM - STREAMS/RIVERS - 1

Figure 14-5. Assessment Form (page 1).

[illegible]**ASSESSMENT FORM - STREAMS/RIVERS - 2**

207

14.3 EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES

Figure 14-7 is a checklist of the supplies required to complete the rapid habitat and visual stream assessments. This checklist may differ from the checklists presented in Appendix A, which are used at a base site to ensure that all equipment and supplies are brought to and are available at the stream site. Field teams are required to use the checklist presented in this section to ensure that equipment and supplies are organized and available to conduct the protocols efficiently.

14.4 LITERATURE CITED

Plafkin, J.L., M.T. Barbour, K.D. Porter, S.K. Gross, and R.M. Hughes. 1989. *Rapid Bio-assessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Rivers: Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish*. EPA/440/4-89/001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES FOR RAPID HABITAT AND VISUAL STREAM ASSESSMENTS

QTY.	Item	
1	Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for Riffle/run prevalent streams	
1	Rapid Habitat Assessment Form for Pool/glide prevalent streams	
1	Assessment Form for visual stream assessment	
6	Soft (#2) lead pencils	
1	Covered clipboard or forms holder	
1 copy	Field operations and methods manual	
1 set	Laminated sheets of procedure tables and/or quick reference guides for rapid habitat and visual assessments	

Figure 14-7. Checklist of equipment and supplies required for rapid habitat and visual stream assessments.