


US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

ORD's Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program



Key Monitoring Questions


- What are the current conditions of our ecosystems?
- Where are the conditions improving or declining?
- What stresses are associated with declines?
- Are management programs and policies working?



GOALS of EMAP

- Develop the scientific basis for consistent, unbiased, cost-effective measurement of the condition of the Nation's aquatic ecosystems


- Status
- Trends



- Build state and tribal capacity for monitoring condition and transfer our technology
- Make our data generally available
- Develop an integrated monitoring approach




EMAP Approach




EMAP Design Approach

- **Probabilistic Design Framework** – Randomized statistical designs allow interpretation of monitoring data with known uncertainty, extrapolation to the entire population with a small sample size, and statistical aggregation of like data to larger geographic areas
- **Classification** - meaningful groupings within resource types and/or ecosystem types to allow better statistical design and analysis
- **Biological Indicators** - Direct measures of aquatic ecosystem condition, integrates stressors, and more sensitive





Oregon DEQ - April 2002
Chemical versus Biological Indicators of Aquatic Life Use
Impairment - Macroinvertebrates & Vertebrates (N=150)




Effectiveness of Design

- Eutrophication of NE US lakes
 - 4219 mostly problem lakes sampled by states for 305(b)
 - 2756 non-random lakes censused (Rohm et al. 1995)
 - 344 lakes with EMAP probability design (11,076 lakes total)



Statistical Change Detection

- Change in Percent Area of Chesapeake Bay with Impaired Benthic Community






EMAP Geographic Research

- National Coastal Assessment – First statistically-based determination of condition for any national aquatic resource
- Western EMAP – Develop baselines for streams in western states
- Great River Ecosystems – Develop baselines for the large rivers of the Central Basin
- R-EMAP – Smaller-scale, Regional and State problem solving
- STAR Grants – University research





EMAP's National Coastal Assessment

- 24 marine coastal states monitoring with core EMAP design and indicators




National Coastal Condition




Western EMAP Streams

- Develop the science for a national state-based probabilistic condition assessments of streams
 - Design and analysis
 - Indicators
 - Reference Conditions



Sites for Wadeable Streams Assessment



- 52 Mixed Wood Shield
- 53 Atlantic Highlands
- 62 Western Cordillera
- 71 Marine West Coast Forest
- 81 Mixed Wood Plains
- 82 Central Plains
- 83 Southeastern Plains
- 84 Ozark, Ouachita-Appalachian Forests



- 85 Mississippi Alluvial / Southeast Coastal Plains
- 92 Temperate Prairies
- 93 West-Central Semi-Arid Prairies
- 94 South-Central Semi-Arid Prairies
- 95 Texas-Louisiana Coastal Plain
- 96 Tamaulipas-Texas Semi-Arid Plain

- 101 Western Interior Basins and Ranges
- 102 Sonoran and Mohave Deserts
- 104 Chihuahuan Desert
- 111 Mediterranean California
- 121 Western Sierra Madre Piedmont
- 131 Upper Gila Mountains
- 154 Everglades

• Sites sampled, 2000–2004

• Sites to be sampled, 2004

**Ecoregions
(North America Level II)**




Regional EMAP

- Smaller-scale geographic demonstrations involving condition assessment at regional, state and local levels


EPA Region 7 Example

1997-98 Nebraska Stream Data

Aquatic Life Use Support
(percent of streams)




Water Turbidity in Nebraska Streams: 1994-95 vs 1997-98 Data




EMAP/STAR Academic Research

- EMAP is integrated with academic scientists through ORD's STAR Grants Program
 - Ecological Indicators
 - Statistical Designs



State Use of Probability Survey Designs for Streams and Estuaries




- Reporting
- Adopted
- Evaluating
- Interest
- Discussion
- No interest

Note: Combining state survey results requires consistent state coverage of streams and rivers, indicators measured, or criteria for impairment.




October 2003

Integrated Monitoring and Assessment




Quantitative Condition Measures


- Reference conditions - scientifically-defensible benchmark for measuring condition



Threshold for Biological Impact




Stream Miles Impaired in Maryland



8800 stream miles in MD
MD 66% 1st order and 17% 2nd order

7304 miles in 1st and 2nd
order streams






3725 miles of 1st and 2nd order
streams should be on 303(d) List
based on benthic impairment


Probability of Impairment Models

Combine condition information with other data to predict probability of impairment

Agriculture on >3% Slopes








Estimate of Extent



Data to Drive Modeling

Spatial Models for Probability of Impairment



EMAP

- Nationally consistent approach for monitoring streams and estuaries is available
- Statistical detection of changes and trends in ecological condition is possible
- Developing the science for determining condition of the large and Great Rivers
- Developing the science needed for implementing an integrated monitoring approach