

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

CATALOG DOCUMENTATION
EMAP-GREAT LAKES PROGRAM LEVEL DATABASE
1994 LAKE ONTARIO NEARSHORE AND OFFSHORE
CHLOROPHYLL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION
2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION
3. DATA SET ABSTRACT
4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION
5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS
6. DATA MANIPULATIONS
7. DATA DESCRIPTION
8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION
9. QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE
10. DATA ACCESS
11. REFERENCES
12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS
13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Title of Catalog document

EMAP-Great Lakes Program Level Database
1994 Lake Ontario Nearshore and Offshore
Chlorophyll Data

1.2 Authors of the Catalog entry

Jenny Kysely, ILS

1.3 Catalog revision date

26 November 1996

1.4 Data set name

LOCHL94

1.5 Task Group

Great Lakes

1.6 Data set identification code

511

1.7 Version

001

1.8 Requested Acknowledgment

These data were produced as part of the U.S. EPA's Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP). If you plan to publish these data in any way, EPA requires a standard statement for work it has supported:

"Although the data described in this article has been funded wholly or in part by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its EMAP-Great Lakes Program, it has not been subjected to Agency review, and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred."

2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION

2.1 Principal Investigator

Stephen Lozano
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED

2.2 Investigation Participant - Sample Collection

Floyd Boetcher
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED

2.3 Investigation Participant - Sample Collection

Gary Phipps
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED

2.4 Investigation Participant - Sample Collection

James Gangl
SAIC
(Currently, University of Minnesota)

2.5 Investigation Participant - Sample Processing

Jill Scharold
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED

3. DATA SET ABSTRACT

3.1 Abstract of the Data Set

The Lake Ontario Chlorophyll data set provides chlorophyll concentrations at fifty-five sampling stations located in the nearshore and offshore regions of Lake Ontario. Chlorophyll analysis was conducted on thermally stratified water samples collected from the surface, mid-epilimnion, and upper hypolimnion. Some sites had isothermal conditions and samples were collected at 1 meter and mid-depth.

Samples were filtered and pigments were solvent extracted with an acetone-DMSO solvent. Spectrophotometric analysis was performed using monochromatic and trichromatic methods for determination of chlorophyll a, carotenoid pigments, and pheophytins. Chlorophylls b and c were determined trichromatically.

3.2 Keywords for the Data Set

Chlorophyll, nearshore region, offshore region, spectrophotometric analysis, Great Lakes, Lake Ontario

4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION

4.1 Program Objective

The Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) was designed to periodically estimate that status and trends of the Nation's ecological resources on a regional basis. EMAP provides a strategy to identify and bound the extent, magnitude and location of environmental degradation and improvement on a regional scale based on station sites randomly located in the Great Lakes. Base grid and three-fold enhanced sampling sites from nearshore and offshore regions of Lake Ontario are included in this data set.

4.2 Data Set Objective

The primary objective of the chlorophyll data set is to characterize the trophic status endpoint by providing estimates of phytoplankton biomass and serving as an indicator of aquatic productivity in the Great Lakes. Chlorophyll measurements were also used to calibrate an in situ fluorometer and interpret remotely-sensed AVHRR data.

4.3 Background Discussion

Condition indicators provide important information about ecological condition of a sampling site. Chlorophyll a is an important factor addressing the trophic status of an ecosystem. Chlorophyll a is the primary photosynthetic pigment of algae and provides an estimate of algal biomass, which can be used as an indication of productivity. Consequently, its absorbance is measured most frequently. Also, the absorbance of chlorophylls b and c, accessory pigments, and pheophytins can also be measured. If an entire suite of pigments is analyzed, pigment analysis will provide the ability to differentiate algal biomass from other organic constituents in the assemblage, and provide information on algal community structure.

The amount of chlorophyll in an algal cell can change depending on ambient conditions. It readily responds to natural and anthropogenic influenced stressors such as nutrient levels and light attenuation characteristics. Turbidity levels, particularly from increases in suspended solids, can affect light penetration depth and intensity. This can cause an effect on the algal community to carry out photosynthesis. Since algal abundance and productivity is the energy base for an autochthonous system, any changes can have impacts on the food web dynamics. Other water chemistry and abiotic parameters were measured which will be helpful with chlorophyll a data interpretation.

4.4 Summary of Data Set Parameters

Chlorophyll a (monochromatic and trichromatic methods), chlorophylls b and c (trichromatic methods) carotenoids, and pheophytins are reported for thermally or isothermally stratified conditions for each sampling station.

5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS

5.1 Data Acquisition

5.1.1 Sampling Objective

To collect water samples from 55 sampling sites from the nearshore and offshore regions of Lake Ontario. A Niskin sampler was used to collect water samples from a thermally or isothermally stratified water column. For thermally stratified conditions, discrete samples were collected from the surface (1m), mid-epilimnion, and upper hypolimnion. At stations with isothermal conditions, water samples were collected at 1 meter and mid-depth.

5.1.2 Sample Collection Methods Summary

At all stations, depth and temperature profiles for the water column were determined using a Sea Bird SBE 25 CTD, and a Niskin sampler was used to collect thermally stratified water samples or, in some cases, isothermally stratified water samples.

The water samples were subsampled for chlorophyll measurements by obtaining a one liter sample from each depth and placing the subsample into separate opaque polyethylene bottles and refrigerated for filtration. Each sample was filtered through a 0.45 membrane filter (Millipore type HA, 47 mm diameter). Using a vacuum filtration apparatus, pressure was maintained at no more than 0.5 atms. The filters were folded into quarters, wrapped in aluminum foil, and frozen for storage until laboratory analysis.

5.1.3 Beginning Sampling Date

3 September 1994

5.1.4 Ending Sampling Date

19 September 1994

5.1.5 Platform

Sampling was conducted from the R/V Guardian.

5.1.6 Sampling Equipment

A Niskin water sampler was used to collect water samples. Opaque polyethylene containers were used for subsamples. A 2000 Liter graduated cylinder was used for measuring subsample volume for filtering. A filtering apparatus with a vacuum pump was used for filtering samples. Millipore type HAWP (0.45 membrane filters, 47 mm diameter) were used for collecting the algal biomass.

5.1.7 Manufacturer of Instrument

5.1.8 Key Variables

This data set contains thermally and isothermally stratified water column values. Four sites had duplicate field samples and are averaged for each discrete depth.

5.1.9 Collection Method Calibration

The sampling gear required no calibration.

5.1.10 Collection Quality Control

Duplicate field samples at 4 sites (10% of sites) were taken.

5.1.11 Sample Collection Method Reference

Strobel, C.J. and S.C. Schimmel, 1991. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Near Coastal. 1991 Virginian Province, Field Operations and Safety Manual. U.S. EPA, NHEERL-AED, Narragansett, RI. June 1991.

5.2 Data Processing and Sample Processing

5.2.1 Sample Processing Objective

To process chlorophyll samples to characterize algal biomass in terms of chlorophyll a.

5.2.2 Sample Processing Methods Summary

The chlorophyll samples were stored as frozen filters wrapped in aluminum foil until analysis. Spectrophotometric analysis was used for chlorophyll determination, and monochromatic and trichromatic methods were used for detection of chlorophylls a, b, and c, carotenoid pigments, and pheophytin. The filters were placed in 20 ml scintillation vials and solvent extracted for 20-24 hours. The solvent used was an acetone-DMSO mixture. Analysis was performed in subdued light using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 2S Spectrophotometer with 5 cm path length cell. Blanks and standards were run prior to and at the end of analysis for background correction. Samples were acidified with 1 N HCL for pheophytin correction.

5.2.3 Sample Processing Method Calibration

Analysis of chlorophyll samples, standards, and blanks were performed in subdued light. Samples were allowed to warm to room temperature. An initial blank was analyzed prior to sample analysis for background correction. Solvent absorbances were read to verify zero readings. If zero readings were not obtained, the sequence was repeated. If zero readings were obtained, solvent was drawn into the cell and read to verify a stable reading of zero.

5.2.4 Sample Processing Quality Control

Blanks and standards were analyzed before, during, and at end of analysis.

5.2.5 Sample Processing Method Reference

Standard methodology was used with DMSO extraction procedure. Reference follows:
 Shoaf, W.T. and B.W. Lium. 1976. Improved extraction of chlorophyll a and b from algae using dimethyl sulfoxide. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 21:926-928.

5.2.6 Sample Processing Method Deviations

6. DATA ANALYSIS AND MANIPULATIONS

6.1 Name of New or Modified Values

6.2 Data Manipulation Description

The formulas used for calculating chlorophyll, carotenoid, and pheophytin using the monochromatic and trichromatic procedures follow:

Monochromatic Method (Lorenzen, 1967):

$$\text{Chl a (g/L or mg/m)} = \frac{(k) (F) (E6650 - E665a) (v)}{(V) (Z)}$$

where

- E6650 = turbidity-corrected absorption at 665 nm before acidification = A6650 - A7500, where A = the absorption value
- E665a = turbidity-corrected absorption at 665 nm after acidification = A665a - A750a
- k = absorption coefficient of chlorophyll a, = 11.0
- F = factor to equate the reduction in absorbency to initial chlorophyll concentration, 1.7:0.7, or = 2.43
- R = maximum ration of E6650:E665a in the absence of phaeopigments, = 1.7
- v = volume of extract in ml
- V = volume of water filtered in liters
- Z = length of light path trough cuvette or cell in cm.

$$\text{Phaeopigments (g/L or mg/m)} = \frac{(k) (F) R (E665a) (E6650 - E665a) (v)}{(V) (Z)}$$

Trichromatic Method (Jeffery and Humphrey, 1975):

$$\text{Chl a (g/L or mg/m)} = \frac{(Ca) (v)}{(V) (Z)}$$

where Ca = 11.85 E664 - 1.54 E647 - 0.08 E630 and E6640 = A664 - A750, etc., as above.

6.2 Data Manipulation Description, continued

$$\text{Chl b (g/L or mg/m)} = \frac{(\text{Cb}) (\text{v})}{(\text{V}) (\text{Z})}$$

where Cb = 21.03 E647 5.43 E664 2.66 E630 and E6470 = A647 A750, etc., as above.

$$\text{Chl c1 + c2 (g/L or mg/m)} = \frac{(\text{Cc}) (\text{v})}{(\text{V}) (\text{Z})}$$

where Cc1 + 2 = 24.52 E630 1.67 E664 7.60 E647 and E630 = A630 A750, etc., as above.

From these data the amount of pigments per cell of phytoplankton can be estimated.

$$\frac{\text{g chl in extract}}{\text{g chl in extract}} = (\text{g chl/ml})(\text{volume of extract, ml})$$

$$\text{mol of chl extract} = \frac{\text{g chl in extract}}{\text{molecular weight of chl}}$$

where the formula weights are chlorophyll a = 893.5, chlorophyll b = 907.5, and chlorophyll c = 610.

$$\text{mol of chl/cell} = \frac{\text{mol of chl extract}}{\text{number cells in sample}}$$

Plant Carotenoids (Strickland and Parsons, 1972):

$$\text{Car (SPU)/L or mSPU/m)} = \frac{(10.0) (\text{E4800}) (\text{v})}{(\text{V}) (\text{Z})}$$

where

SPU = specified plant pigment units approximating the mg

$$\text{E4800} = \text{A480} \quad (3) (\text{A750})$$

Use the factor of 10.0 when the algae consist primarily of Chrysophyta, Pyrrophyta, or both. When the algae consist predominantly of members of Chlorophyta and/or Cyanophyta, then use the following equation:

$$\text{Car (SPU)/L or mSPU/m)} = \frac{(4.0) (\text{E4800}) (\text{v})}{(\text{V}) (\text{Z})}$$

where

$$\text{E4800} = \text{A4800} \quad (3) (\text{A7500})$$

$$\text{Phaeopigments (g/ or mg/m)} = \frac{(26.7) 1.7(\text{E665a}) \quad \text{E6650} (\text{v})}{(\text{V}) (\text{Z})}$$

6.3 Data Manipulation Examples

7. DATA DESCRIPTION

7.1 Description of Parameters

| # | Name | Type | Length | Format | Parameter Label |
|----|----------|------|--------|----------|---|
| 1 | STA_NAME | Char | 8 | 8 | Station Name |
| 2 | DATE | Num | 8 | YYMMDD8. | Date the sample was collected |
| 3 | DEPTH_C | Char | 1 | 1. | Depth category of sample (E-mid epilimnion, H-upper hypolimnion, S-surface) |
| 4 | DEPTH | Num | 5 | 5. | Depth (m) |
| 5 | CHLA_M | Num | 6 | 6.2 | Chlorophyll a (g/L), monochromatic method |
| 6 | CHLA_T | Num | 6 | 6.2 | Chlorophyll a (g/L), trichromatic method |
| 7 | CHLB_T | Num | 6 | 6.2 | Chlorophyll b (g/L), trichromatic method |
| 8 | CHLC_T | Num | 6 | 6.2 | Chlorophyll c (g/L), trichromatic method |
| 9 | CARO | Num | 4 | 4. | Carotenoid (g/L) pigment |
| 10 | PHEO | Num | 4 | 4. | Pheophytin (g/L) |

7.1.1 Precision to which values are reported

The number of decimal places for each value reflects the precision of the spectrophotometer.

7.1.2 Minimum Value in Data Set

| | |
|--------|------|
| CHLA_M | -0.3 |
| CHLA_T | 0.5 |
| CHLB_T | 0.04 |
| CHLC_T | 0.05 |
| CARO | 0.09 |
| PHEO | 0.16 |

7.1.3 Maximum Value in Data Set

| | |
|--------|------|
| CHLA_M | 3.68 |
| CHLA_T | 5.22 |
| CHLB_T | 0.9 |
| CHLC_T | 0.65 |
| CARO | 3.3 |
| PHEO | 2.71 |

7.2 Data Record Example

7.2.1 Column Names for Example Records

STA_NAME, DATE, DEPTH_C, DEPTH, CHLA_M, CHLA_T, CHLB_T, CHLC_T, CARO, PHEO

7.2.2 Example Data Records

| STA_NAME | DATE | DEPTH_C | DEPTH | CHLA_M | CHLA_T | CHLB_T | CHLC_T | CARO | PHEO |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| L094-77 | 940907 | S | 1 | 1.01 | 2.58 | 0.7 | 0.26 | 1.5 | 2.53 |
| L094-77 | 940907 | H | 27 | . | 1.49 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 1.1 | . |
| L094-77 | 940907 | E | 7 | 2.2 | 3.37 | 0.9 | 0.29 | 1.9 | 1.79 |

8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION

8.1 Minimum Longitude

-79 deg 21' 17"

8.2 Maximum Longitude

-76 deg 20' 04"

8.3. Minimum Latitude

42 deg 27' 29"

8.4 Maximum Latitude

43 deg 53' 49"

8.5 Name of Area or Region

Nearshore and Offshore Lake Ontario
Stations were located within the Nearshore and Offshore resource class of Lake Ontario. The nearshore sites were within the non-depositional zone (16 sites) and the offshore sites were within the depositional zone (30 sites).

9. QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE

9.1 Measurement Quality Objectives

9.2. Data Quality Assurance Procedures

9.3 Actual Measurement Quality

10. DATA ACCESS

10.1 Data Access Procedures

Data can be downloaded from the EMAP Website.

10.2 Data Access Restrictions

Not applicable.

10.3 Data Access Contact Persons

Stephen J. Lozano
U.S. E.P.A. NHEERL-MED
(218) 529-5205
(218) 529-5003 (FAX)
lozano.stephen@epa.gov

10.4 Data Set Format

Data from the Website are in ASCII fixed format.

10.5 Information Concerning Anonymous FTP

Not accessible.

10.6 Information Concerning WWW

Data can be downloaded from the EMAP Website.

10.7 EMAP CD-ROM Containing the Data Set

Data are not available on CD-ROM.

11. REFERENCES

Lorenzen, C.J. 1967 Determination of chlorophyll and pheopigments: spectrophotometric equations, *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 12:343-346.

Jeffrey, S.W. and G.F. Humphrey. 1975. New spectrophotometric equations for determining chlorophylls a, b, c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae, and natural phytoplankton. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen* 167:191-194.

Strickland, J.D.H. and T.R. Parsons. 1972. A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis. 2nd Ed. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa. 310 pp.

Hedtke, S., A. Pilli, D. Dolan, G. McRae, B. Goodno, R. Kreis, G. Warren, D. Swackhamer, and M. Henry. 1992. Great Lakes Monitoring and Research Strategy: Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program. USEPA, Office of Research and Development, ERL-Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota. EPA/602/R-92/001. 204 p.

12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS

NA

13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Stephen J. Lozano
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED
6201 Congdon Blvd
Duluth, MN 55804
(218)529-5205
(218)529-5003 (FAX)
lozano.stephen@epa.gov

Gary L. Phipps
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED
6201 Congdon Blvd
Duluth, MN 55804
(218)529-5205
(218)529-5003 (FAX)
phipps.gary@epa.gov

Jill V. Scharold
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED
6201 Congdon Blvd
Duluth, MN 55804
(218)529-5205
(218)529-5003 (FAX)
scharold.jill@epa.gov

Floyd L. Boettcher
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL-MED
6201 Congdon Blvd
Duluth, MN 55804
(218)529-5205
(218)529-5003 (FAX)
boettcher.floyd@epa.gov