

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# Appendix A

## Sample Site Selection Design for MAIA Estuaries 1997-98

Two basic sample site selection designs were implemented for the sampling in 1997-98 for MAIA Estuaries: for estuarine waters treated as a continuous resource, sampling stations were selected randomly within hexagons of a randomly overlaid grid; and for estuaries treated as discrete resources, a random selection from a list frame of individual estuarine systems was employed. The approach for continuous resource is the randomized-tessellation stratified (RTS) design (Stevens 1997). Multiple Density-RTS (MD-RTS) (Stevens 1997) approach was used for the Delaware Estuary. The basic estimation procedures are from the report by Heimbuch, et al. (1995), and also appear in an appendix in Strobel, et al. (1995). The estimation procedures are based upon ratio-type estimators, which constrain the estimates of the proportion of the area to be no greater than 1. This eliminates the possibility of estimates that are outside reasonable bounds and reduces the variance of the estimates.

### Discrete resource estimation procedure:

For discrete resources, estimates of the proportion of the area and associated variances are computed based on a random selection from a list of the estuarine systems, with replicate samples taken from a subset of the selected systems (Cochran 1977). The resulting estimate of the proportion of the area is:

$$\hat{P}_z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \bar{y}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i},$$

where

$\hat{P}_z$  = estimated proportion of the area at or below response value of  $z$ ,

$n$  = number of estuarine systems sampled.

$A_i$  = area of estuarine system  $i$ ,

$\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} y_{ij}$ , and

$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if response value is less than or equal to } z, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$m_i$  = number of samples in estuarine system  $i$ ,

Since replicate samples may be obtained only at a subset of the sampled systems (only a subset of total number of systems is sampled), the formula for the estimated variance taken from Cochran (1977 eq. 11.30) was modified to produce the following estimate of the approximate mean squared error (MSE) of the estimate for the proportion of the area:

$$MSE(\hat{P}_z) = \frac{\frac{N^2}{n} (1-f_1) \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^2 (\bar{y}_i - \hat{P}_z)^2}{n-1} + \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i^2 S_{2i}^2}{m_i}}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i^2}{m_i}}$$

where,

$$f_1 = n/N,$$

$$n^* = \text{number of estuarine systems with replicate samples,}$$

$$S_{2i}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2}{m_i - 1},$$

$$A = \text{the total area of estuarine systems in the list frame, and}$$

$$N = \text{number of estuarine systems in the list frame.}$$

## Continuous resource estimation procedure:

For continuous resources, the estuarine waters are treated as spatially extensive and the Horvitz-Thompson estimator (Cochran 1977) is used. The proportion of the area estimate is:

$$\hat{P}_z = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{\Pi_i},$$

where

$$\hat{P}_z = \text{estimated proportion of the area at or below response value of } z,$$

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if response value is less than or equal to } z, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Pi_i = \text{inclusion probability for a station (1/area),}$$

$$A = \text{the total area of estuarine waters in strata, and}$$

$$n = \text{number of estuarine systems sampled.}$$

To produce unbiased estimates of variance, joint inclusion probabilities,  $\Pi_{ij}$ , must be non-zero. The variance estimates were obtained by applying the Yates-Grundy estimate of variance (Cochran 1977) and using an approximation for the joint inclusion probability:

$$\text{var}(\hat{P}_z) = \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>1}^n \left( \frac{\Pi_i \Pi_j - \Pi_{ij}}{\Pi_{ij}} \right) * \left( \frac{y_i}{\Pi_i} - \frac{y_j}{\Pi_j} \right)^2,$$

where

$$\Pi_{ij} = \text{joint inclusion probability, probability that stations } i \text{ and } j \text{ are selected for sampling,}$$

$$\frac{(n-1)}{n} \Pi_i \Pi_j.$$

The approximation for joint inclusion probability assumes that sites are a simple random sample over the continuous population.

## Procedure for combining estimates for strata

The estimate for the proportion of the area for multiple strata is the weighted average of the proportion of the area estimates of the individual strata:

$$\hat{U} = \sum_i w_i \hat{P}_i ,$$

where,

$\hat{U}$  = the estimated proportion area for the combined strata,

$\hat{P}_i$  = the estimated proportion area for the stratum  $i$ , and

$w_i$  = relative area of stratum  $i$ , (area of stratum  $i$ /total area for combined strata).

The estimated variance for the proportion of the area in the combination of strata is the sum of the component variances:

$$\hat{var}(\hat{U}) = \sum_i w_i \hat{var}(\hat{P}_i)$$

where,

$\hat{var}(\hat{U})$  = the estimated variance of the proportion of area in the geographic area of interest, and

$\hat{var}(\hat{P}_i)$  = the estimated variance of the proportion of area in stratum  $i$ .

These methods for estimation of the combined strata are based on the assumption that the strata are independent.

## Procedure for combination intervals

To produce confidence intervals (CIs), the proportion of the area estimates are assumed to be normally distributed. The 95% CIs are based on:

$$CI = \pm 1.96 \sqrt{MSE(\hat{P}_z)} .$$

For small sample sizes, the normal approximation may not be acceptable. A different form has to be used: exact binomial CIs. However, the exact binomial CI can be used only for constant inclusion probabilities. For variable inclusion probabilities, the distribution of the percent area is not binomially distributed, and normal approximate CIs are the more appropriate intervals to use regardless of the sample size.

**Table A-1.** Initial Strata Used in Selection of Sampling Sites for MAIA Estuaries 1997-98.**Major tributaries (mainstem portion only)**

Delaware River	Rappahannock River
Choptank River	York River
Patuxent River	James River
Potomac River (benthic/sediment quality)	Chowan River
Lower Potomac River (water quality)	Neuse River
Upper Potomac River (water quality)	

**Chesapeake Bay subsystems**

eastern tributaries  
Pocomoke Sound (water quality)  
Tangier Sound (water quality)  
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound (benthic/sediment quality)

**Small estuarine systems in MAIA****Intensively-sampled small estuarine systems**

Schuylkill River	St. Jerome Creek
Salem River	Pamunkey River
Severn River	Mobjack Bay
South River	Cherrystone Inlet
Eastern Bay	Sinepuxent Bay
Pocomoke River	Virginia coastal bays

**Chesapeake Bay mainstem**

water quality - single stratum  
benthic/sediment quality - separate strata for Maryland and Virginia waters

**Delaware Bay****Chincoteague Bay****Albemarle-Pamlico estuarine system open-water area**

**Table A-2.** Combinations of Strata Used to Produce Estimates for Geographic Areas.

**Delaware Estuary**

small estuarine systems  
Delaware River  
Delaware Bay

**Chesapeake Bay**

mainstem (one stratum for water quality, two strata for benthic/sediment quality)  
tributaries  
subsystems  
small estuarine systems

**Potomac River (water quality)**

Lower Potomac River  
Upper Potomac River

**Coastal Bays**

small estuarine systems  
Chincoteague Bay

**Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine system**

open-water area (large system class)  
tidal rivers  
small estuarine systems

**Tributaries**

mainstem portion of tributary  
small estuarine systems that are part of tributary

**Table A-3.** Design Specifics for MAIA Intensively-Sampled Small Estuarine Systems.

<b>design</b>	randomized tessellation stratified (RTS) design uniform hexagonal grid overlain on each system one random site selected within each grid
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	equal within each system, but different values for each system
<b>estimation procedure</b>	continuous resource equations with uniform inclusion probabilities for each system
<b>information needs</b>	area for each system hex grid overlay specifics for each system

**Table A-4.** Design Specifics for MAIA Randomly-Selected Small Estuarine Systems.

<b>design</b>	from list frame, random selection of systems to sample one random site within each system
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	equal to area of each small estuarine system
<b>estimation procedure</b>	discrete resource equations
<b>information needs</b>	list of all small estuarine systems area of each small estuarine system total area of all small estuarine systems

**Table A-5.** Design Specifics for Delaware Estuary (River and Bay).

<b>design</b>	multiple-density, randomized tessellation stratified (MD-RTS) design (Stevens, 1997) define strata within estuary for sampling purposes uniform hexagonal grid is overlain on each strata, three random site selections are made from each hexagon with sampling at the first site accessible and meeting criteria
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	equal inclusion probabilities within each strata with weighting based upon which site in order of selection actually sampled (1 for first site, 2/3 for second site, and 1/3 for third site) each stratum has inclusion probability associated with area of stratum
<b>estimation procedure</b>	continuous resource equations with unequal inclusion probabilities
<b>information needs</b>	area for each stratum strata that are within Delaware estuary hex grid overlay specifics for each strata selection order for each site actually sampled area for Delaware estuary

**Table A-6.** Design Specifics for Chesapeake Bay Mainstem.

<b>design</b>	basically treated the CBP sites as random sites, one group for water quality and one group for benthic/sediment quality
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	for benthic/sediment quality, total area/number of sites for water quality, total area/number of sites
<b>estimation procedure</b>	continuous resource equations
<b>information needs</b>	hex grid overlay specifics total area for mainstem used for grid overlay (large system class for Chesapeake Bay as determined for Virginian Province effort) inclusion probabilities



**Table A-7.** Design Specifics for Tributaries and Subsystems.

<b>design</b>	basically treated the Chesapeake Bay program sites as random sites within each tributary or subsystem, one group for water quality and one group for sediment quality; can treat Potomac River as 2 strata (upper and lower) or could treat as one with different inclusion probabilities; Neuse River was overlain with three different grid densities
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	area of hexagonal grid for each tributary or area of each tributary divided by number of sites
<b>estimation procedure</b>	continuous resource equations
<b>information needs</b>	total area for each tributary used for grid overlay hex grid overlay specifics for each tributary inclusion probabilities

**Table A-8.** Design Specifics for Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine System.

<b>design</b>	list frame for small estuarine systems randomized tessellation stratified (RTS) design for tidal rivers and large systems (open water)
<b>inclusion probabilities</b>	small systems - area of each small estuarine system tidal rivers/large systems - area of hexagon
<b>estimation procedure</b>	discrete resource equations for small systems continuous resource equations for large systems and tidal rivers
<b>information needs</b>	inclusion probabilities hex grid overlay specifics area of systems and classes