

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

CATALOG DOCUMENTATION
NATIONAL COASTAL ASSESSMENT- NORTHEAST DATABASE
YEAR 2001 STATIONS
SEDIMENT TOXICITY DATA: "SEDTOX"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. DATASET IDENTIFICATION
2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION
3. DATASET ABSTRACT
4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION
5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS
6. DATA MANIPULATIONS
7. DATA DESCRIPTION
8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION
9. QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE
10. DATA ACCESS AND DISTRIBUTION
11. REFERENCES
12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS
13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

1. DATASET IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Title of Catalog document

National Coastal Assessment-Northeast Region Database
Year 2001 Stations
SEDIMENT - TOXICITY DATA

1.2 Authors of the Catalog entry

John Kiddon, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
Harry Buffum, CSC Corp.

1.3 Catalog revision date

December 29, 2003

1.4 Dataset name

SEDTOX

1.5 Task Group

National Coastal Assessment-Northeast

1.6 Dataset identification code

006

1.7 Version

001

1.8 Request for Acknowledgment

EMAP requests that all individuals who download EMAP data acknowledge the source of these data in any reports, papers, or presentations. If you publish these data, please include a statement similar to: "Some or all of the data described in this article were produced by the U. S. Environmental

Protection Agency through its Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP)".

2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION (for full addresses see Section 13)

2.1 Principal Investigators

Gerald Pesch, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
Walter Galloway, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED
Donald Cobb, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED

2.2 Sample Collection Investigators

Donald Cobb, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED

2.3 Sample Processing Investigators

Not Applicable

3. DATASET ABSTRACT

3.1 Abstract of the Dataset

The SEDTOX data file reports results and biological significance of the sediment toxicity test performed in the National Coastal Assessment (Northeast component) during the summer of 2001. Toxicity was determined by exposing the marine amphipod *Ampelisca abdita* to whole sediment samples in static ten-day toxicity tests. Only data for the northeastern states (ME through DE) are included here. One record is presented per sampling event.

3.2 Keywords for the Dataset

Sediment toxicity, *Ampelisca abdita*, amphipod

4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION

4.1 Program Objective

The National Coastal Assessment (NCA) is a national monitoring and assessment program with the primary goal of providing a consistent evaluation of the estuarine condition in U.S. estuaries. It is an initiative of the Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP), and is a partnership of several federal and state environmental agencies, including: EPA's Regions, Office of Research and Development, and Office of Water; state environmental protection agencies in the 24 marine coastal states and Puerto Rico; and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA). The five-year NCA program was initiated in 2000, and is also known as the Coastal 2000 Program.

Stations were randomly selected using EMAP's probabilistic sampling framework and were sampled once during a summer index period (June to

October). A consistent suite of indicators was used to measure conditions in the water, sediment, and in benthic and fish communities. The measured data may be used by the states to meet their reporting requirements under the Clean Water Act, Section 305(b). The data will also be used to generate a series of national reports characterizing the condition of the Nation's estuaries.

4.2 Dataset Objective

The purpose of the SEDTOX data file is to report the results and biological significance of the sediment toxicity test (*Ampelisca* mortality assay).

4.3 Background Discussion

A two-year sampling design was employed for 2000-2001 NCA program in the Northeast. Analysts may therefore wish to consider the two years of data together.

The toxicity of sediments were evaluated by measuring the survival rate of the marine amphipod *Ampelisca abdita* exposed to whole sediments in static 10-day laboratory tests. The tests were run at 20 °C and 30 ppt salinity. Sediments were considered to be toxic if the survival rate of the amphipods relative to a control (designated by the parameter SRVPCCON) was less than 80%. The parameter SRVPC_SIG specifies whether the measured survival rate was statistically distinct from a control group (significant at $\alpha = 0.05$). The parameter ATOX_SIG expresses the result as either 1) <80%, i.e., toxic with a statistically significant survival rate < 80% of control group; 2) < 60%; or 3) not toxic (NT).

This database contains data collected in 2001 from the Northeast component of the NCA, measured in the estuaries of the states Maine through Delaware. Nine federal-state cooperative agreements were formed to conduct the NCA program in Northeast U.S., as designated by the parameter ST_COOP (see Section 4.4). Samples collected by the ST_COOP were analyzed either by a national lab under contract to the EPA or by in-state labs, as designated by the parameter LABCODE (see Section 4.4).

NCA planners provide two alternate locations for a station location in the event that the original location cannot be sampled. The parameter STA_ALT indicates whether the station location was the original site, first alternate, or second alternate—STA_ALT = "A", "B", or "C", respectively. Also refer to discussion in the STATIONS metadata file regarding use of this parameter during analysis of the data.

4.4 Summary of Dataset Parameters

* denotes parameters that should be used as key fields when merging data files

NAME	LABEL
*STATION	Coastal 2000 Station Name
*STAT_ALT	Alternate Site Code (A,B,C)
*EVNTDATE	Event Date
SRVPCCON	Ampelisca Survival as % of Control
SRVPC_SIG	Statistical Significance ($p < 0.05$)

	Yes or No
ATOX_SIG	Ampelisca Toxicity Test Significance
	< 80%
	< 60%
	NT (not toxic)
LABCODE	Lab/Contract Identifier
	NAT (national contract lab)
QACODE	Qa Qualifier Code
	<blank> No qualification

5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS

5.1 Data Acquisition / Field Sampling

5.1.1 Sampling Objective

Sediment was collected for use in measuring physical, chemical, and toxicological characteristics. Separate sediment grabs were taken for benthic macrofaunal analysis.

5.1.2 Sample Collection: Methods Summary

Sediment was collected with a 0.04-m² Young-modified Van-Veen grab or similar sampler. Only the top two centimeters of a grab were retained for physical, chemical, and toxicological analyses. A sufficient number of grabs were processed to provide three liters of the 2-cm composite material. The composite was homogenized and separated into two fractions for storage until analysis. One fraction was frozen and used in the measurement of total organic carbon (TOC) and concentrations of chemical contaminants. The second fraction was chilled but not frozen during storage, and was used for grain-size and toxicity analyses. Separate sediment grabs were taken for benthic macro faunal analysis. This file describes the toxicological analysis, i.e., the amphipod mortality assay.

5.1.3 Beginning Sampling Dates

25 June 2001

5.1.4 Ending Sampling Dates

31 October 2001

5.1.5 Sampling Platform

Samples were collected from gasoline or diesel powered boats, 18 to 133 feet in length.

5.1.6 Sampling Equipment

A 1/25 m², stainless steel (coated with Kynar), Young-modified Van Veen grab sampler was used to collect sediments.

5.1.7 Manufacturer of Sampling Equipment

Young's Welding, Sandwich, MA

5.1.8 Key Variables

Not applicable

5.1.9 Sample Collection: Methods Calibration

The sampling gear does not require calibration, although it was inspected regularly for damage by mishandling or impact on rocky substrates.

5.1.10 Sample Collection: Quality Control

Care was taken to minimize disturbance to the sediment grabs. Grabs that were incomplete, slumped, less than 7 cm in depth, or comprised chiefly of shelly substrates were discarded. The chance of sampling the same location was minimized by repositioning the boat five meters downstream after three sampling attempts.

5.1.11 Sample Collection: References Strobel, C.J. 2000. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program: Coastal 2000 - Northeast component: field operations manual. Narragansett (RI): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Atlantic Ecology Division. Report nr EPA/620/R-00/002. 68 p.

5.1.11 Sample Collection: Alternate Methods

Different grab samplers used by NCA partners include the Smith-MacIntyre and Ponar grab samplers.

5.2 Data Preparation and Sample Processing

5.2.1 Sample Processing Objective

Determine the toxicity of sediment samples using a 10-day *Ampelisca abdita* mortality assay performed on whole sediments.

5.2.2 Sample Processing: Methods Summary

In the 10-day *Ampelisca abdita* assay, amphipods were exposed to sediments for 10 days under static conditions following EMAP procedures (EPA 1994, 1995). Sediment samples were stored in the dark at 4 °C prior to analysis. Control sediments were obtained from a clean site in Perdido Bay. Each sediment sample was passed through a 1 mm mesh to remove resident organisms, pebbles, etc., and was stirred to homogenize. Five replicate tests were performed with each field sample along with a test using the control sediment. For each test, 200 mL of sediment sample were placed in a glass container and covered with 600 mL of clean, filtered water (maintained at 20 °C, a salinity of 30ppt, and a dissolved oxygen concentration >60% of saturation). Total ammonia concentration was measured colorimetrically on filtered pore water taken from a sixth replicate. For concentrations greater than 20 mg/L, the sediment was flushed until ammonia levels fell below 20 mg/L. Twenty juvenile amphipods (between 0.7 and 1.5 mm in length) were added to each test chamber for a ten-day exposure. The surviving amphipods were counted, and the results reported as the average number of amphipods surviving in the sample tests divided by the number of amphipods surviving in the control sediment, expressed as a percent. Lower values of this result indicate higher toxicity. The result was considered to be statistically significant if sample and control values were distinct with a p-value ≤ 0.05 in a one-tailed t-test. The assay was taken to

indicate toxicity if the survival rate was less than 80% of the control and the test was statistically significant.

5.2.3 Sample Processing: Methods Calibration

Not applicable

5.2.4 Sample Processing: Quality Control

Positive controls for the amphipod assays were performed as follows. Representative amphipods were routinely tested for response by determining the LC50 concentration of the reference toxicant sodium dodecyl sulfate. The amphipods were considered viable if the measured LC50 fell within the 95% confidence interval of previous QC checks. Each batch of assays was also accompanied by a negative control assay, which was identical to the routine procedures but the amphipods were exposed to sediments that were certified as clean. Five replicates were included in the control run. Batch results were accepted if the mean survival was equal to or greater than 85% and survival in the individual replicate chambers was not less than 80% (ASTM 1993).

5.2.5 Sample Processing: References

U.S. EPA. 1994. Methods for Assessing the Toxicity of Sediment-Associated Contaminants with Estuarine and Marine Amphipods. Narragansett, RI: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. EPA/600/R-94/025.

U.S. EPA. 1995. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP): Manual-Estuaries, Volume 1: Biological and Physical Analyses. Narragansett, RI: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, EPA/620/R-95/008.

6. DATA ANALYSIS AND MANIPULATIONS

6.1 Name of New or Modified Values

Not applicable

6.2 Data Manipulation: Description

SRVPCCON (survival as percent of control; result for amphipod survival assay) was calculated as the average number of amphipods surviving in the five replicate sample tests divided by the number of amphipods surviving in the control sediment, expressed as a percent.

SRVPC_P (statistical significance of amphipod survival result) is reported as 'Yes' if SRVPCCON is statistically significant as indicated by a p-value less than 0.05 in Dunnett's multiple range test, and 'No' if otherwise.

ATOX_SIG (biological significance of amphipod survival result) is reported as '< 60%' if SRVPCCON is less than 60% and SRVPC_P is 'Y' (this indicates high toxicity); as '<80%' if SRVPCCON is less than 80% but greater than 60% and SRVPC_P is 'Y' (this indicates biologically significant toxicity); and otherwise as 'NT' (non-toxic).

7. DATA DESCRIPTION

7.1 Description of Parameters

7.1.1 Components of the Dataset

NAME	TYPE	LENGTH	LABEL
STATION	Char	9	Coastal 2000 Station Name
STAT_ALT	Char	1	Alternate Site Code (A,B,C)
EVNTDATE	Num	8	Event Date
SRVPCCON	Num	8	Ampelisca Survival as % of Control
SRVPC_SG	Char	3	Statistical Significance (p<.05)
ATOX_SIG	Char	4	Ampelisca Toxicity Test Significance
LABCODE	Char	7	Lab/Contract Identifier
QACODE	Char	7	Qa Qualifier Code

7.1.2 Precision of Reported Values

The values are reliable to no more than three significant digits; however more significant digits may be reported in the dataset because of formatting restrictions.

PARAMETER	LABEL	MIN	MAX
SRVPCCON	Ampelisca Survival as % of Control	0.0	108

7.1.3 Minimum Value in Dataset

See Section 7.1.2

7.1.4 Maximum Value in Dataset

See Section 7.1.2

7.2 Data Record Example

7.2.1 Column Names for Example Records

STATION	STAT_ALT	EVNTDATE	SRVPCCON	SRVPC_SG	ATOX_SIG	LABCODE	QACODE
---------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	---------	--------

7.2.2 Examples of Data Records

STATION	STAT_ALT	EVNTDATE	SRVPCCON	SRVPC_SG	ATOX_SIG	LABCODE	QACODE
CT01-0002	A	9/26/01	95.9	NO	NT	NAT	
CT01-0006	A	9/21/01	99.0	NO	NT	NAT	
CT01-0008	A	9/26/01	92.8	NO	NT	NAT	

8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION

8.1 Minimum Longitude (Westernmost)
-75.6977 decimal degrees

8.2 Maximum Longitude (Easternmost)
-67.0482 decimal degrees

8.3 Minimum Latitude (Southernmost)
38.4739 decimal degrees

8.4 Maximum Latitude (Northernmost)
45.1848 decimal degrees

8.5 Name of area or region

The National Coastal Assessment Northeast Region covers the northeastern US coastline from Maine to Delaware.

9. QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

9.1 Measurement Quality Objectives

The measurement quality objectives of the NCA program do not specify accuracy or precision requirements for toxicity measurements.

9.2 Data Quality Assurance Procedures

QA procedures include running a positive reference toxicant (sodium dodecyl sulfate) and a negative reference sample (clean sediment from Perdido Bay)
See Section 5.2.4

9.3 Actual Measurement Quality

All of the data reported in this data file met the QA specifications listed in Section 5.2.4.

10. DATA ACCESS

10.1 Data Access Procedures

Data can be downloaded from the web
<http://www.epa.gov/emap/nca/html/regions/index.html>

10.2 Data Access Restrictions

None

10.3 Data Access Contact Persons

John Kiddon, U.S. EPA NHEERL-AED, Narragansett, RI
401-782-3034, 401-782-3030 (FAX), kiddon.john@epa.gov

Harry Buffum, Data Manager, CSC, Narragansett, RI
401-782-3183, 401-782-3030 (FAX), buffum.harry@epa.gov

10.4 Dataset Format

ASCII (CSV) and SAS Export files

10.5 Information Concerning Anonymous FTP

Not available

10.6 Information Concerning WWW

No gopher access, see Section 10.1 for WWW access

10.7 EMAP CD-ROM Containing the Dataset

Data not available on CD-ROM

11. REFERENCES

Strobel, C.J. 2000. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program: Coastal 2000 - Northeast component: field operations manual. Narragansett (RI): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Atlantic Ecology Division. EPA/620/R-00/002. 68 p.

U.S. EPA. 2001. National Coastal Assessment: Field Operations Manual. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Gulf Ecology Division, Gulf Breeze, FL. EPA/620/R-01/003. 72 p.

U.S. EPA. 2001. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP): National Coastal Assessment Quality Assurance Project Plan 2001-2004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Gulf Ecology Division, Gulf Breeze, FL. EPA/620/R-01/002. 189 p./620/R-95/008.

U.S. EPA. 1995. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP): Manual-Estuaries, Volume 1: Biological and Physical Analyses. Narragansett, RI: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, EPA/620/R-95/008.

12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS

AED	Atlantic Ecology Division
deg C	Degree Centigrade
CSC	Computer Sciences Corporation
EMAP	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
mL	Milliliter
mg/L	Milligram per Liter
NCA	National Coastal Assessment
NHEERL	National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory
ppt	Parts per thousand
m	Meter
TOC	Total Organic Carbon

QA/QC Quality Assurance/Quality Control
WWW World Wide Web

13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Sandra Benyi, Research Biologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3041, 401-782-3030 (FAX), benyi.sandra@epa.gov

Harry Buffum, Database Manager, Computer Sciences Corporation.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3183, 401-782-3030 (FAX), buffum.harry@epa.gov

Don Cobb, Chemist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-9616, 401-782-3030 (FAX), cobb.donald@epa.gov

Walter Galloway, NCA Project Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3096, 401-782-3030 (FAX), galloway.walt@epa.gov

Steve Hale, EMAP Information Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3048, 401-782-3030 (FAX), hale.stephen@epa.gov

Melissa Hughes, Data Librarian, Computer Sciences Corporation.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3184, 401-782-3030 (FAX), hughes.melissa@epa.gov

John Kiddon, AED Oceanographer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3044, 401-782-3030 (FAX), kiddon.john@epa.gov

Joe LiVolsi, AED QA Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3163, 401-782-3030 (FAX), livolsi.joseph@epa.gov

Gerald Pesch, Director Northeast NCA and Project Officer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3007, 401-782-3030 (FAX), pesch.gerald@epa.gov

Charlie Strobel, AED Analyst

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3180, 401-782-3030 (FAX), strobel.charles@epa.gov

Hal Walker, AED Analyst
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NHEERL-AED
27 Tarzwell Drive, Narragansett, RI 02882-1197
401-782-3134, 401-782-3030 (FAX), walker.henry@epa.gov